arXiv:1210.4521v1 [math.AG] 16 Oct 2012

COHOMOLOGY OF LOCALLY-CLOSED SEMI-ALGEBRAIC SUBSETS

FLORENT MARTIN

Abstract. Let k be a non archimedean field. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a k-algebraic variety and U a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ – the Berkovich space associated to $\mathcal{X}$ – we show that for $l \neq \text{char}(\tilde{k})$, the cohomology groups $H^i_c(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ behave like $H^i_c(\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, where $\overline{U} = U \otimes \widehat{\overline{k}}$. In particular, they are finite-dimensional vector spaces. This result has been used by E. Hrushovski and F. Loeser in [HL11]. Moreover, we prove analogous finiteness properties concerning rigid semi-analytic subsets of compact Berkovich spaces (resp. adic spaces associated to quasi-compact quasi-separated k-rigid spaces) when $\text{char}(\tilde{k}) \neq 0$ (resp in any characteristic).

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notations 3
3. A finiteness result in the affinoid case 4
4. Proof of the main theorem 8
5. Extension to rigid semi-analytic subsets 18
References 21

1. Introduction

Let k be a non-archimedean complete algebraically closed field and $\mathcal{X}$ a separated k-scheme of finite type. One can associate to it a k-analytic space $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ [Ber90]. Using [Ber93] one can define cohomology groups $H^i_c(\mathcal{X}^{an}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ which have good properties if l is different from $\text{char}(\tilde{k})$ (in particular, they are finite dimensional vector spaces). If $\mathcal{X}$ =Spec(A) is affine, a subset S of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ is called *semi-algebraic* if it is a finite boolean combination of subsets of the form $\{x \in \mathcal{X}^{an} \mid |f(x)| \leq \lambda |g(x)|\}$ where f and g belong to A and λ is a positive real number. This definition of semi-algebraic subsets extends to general k-varieties (see Definition 4.4). If U is a semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$, using the theory of k-germs developed in [Ber93] it is possible to define cohomology groups $H^i_c(U, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. In this paper, we generalize the finiteness property mentioned above to locally closed semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$. Moreover we extend some results of [Ber93] such as continuity of Galois action, Künneth formula, to the case of k-germs. This is summed up in theorem 4.21:

Date: October 17, 2012.

Theorem 1.1. *Let k be a non-archimedean complete valued field, $\mathcal{X}$ a separated k-scheme of finite type of dimension d, U a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$, and $l \neq char(\tilde{k})$ be a prime number. We denote by π the natural morphism $\overline{\mathcal{X}^{an}} = \mathcal{X}^{an} \otimes_k \hat{\overline{k}} \to \mathcal{X}^{an}$ and we set $\overline{U} = \pi^{-1}(U)$.*

1. *The groups $H^i_c(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ are finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector spaces, endowed with a continuous $Gal(k^{sep}/k)$-action, and $H^i_c(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$ for $i > 2d$.*
2. *If $V \subset U$ is a semi-algebraic subset which is open in U, with complement F (i.e. $F = U \setminus V$), then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} {} \arrow[r, dotted] & H^i_c(\overline{V}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r] & H^i_c(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r] & H^i_c(\overline{F}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r] & H^{i+1}_c(\overline{V}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r, dotted] & {} \end{tikzcd}$$

3. *For all integer n there are canonical isomorphisms:*

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i_c\left(\overline{U}, \mathbb{Q}_l\right) \otimes H^j_c\left(\overline{V}, \mathbb{Q}_l\right) \simeq H^n_c\left(\overline{U \times V}, \mathbb{Q}_l\right)$$

This question was raised by F. Loeser and used in [HL11] where they study the Milnor fibration associated to a morphism $f : X \to \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ where X is a smooth complex algebraic variety. The non-archimedean field is then $k = \mathbb{C}((t))$.

Finally, in section 5 we give analogous statements for rigid semi-analytic subsets (see definition 5.1). If X is an affinoid space, whose affinoid algebra is $\mathcal{A}$, a globally semi-analytic subset of X is a finite boolean combination of subsets of the form $\{x \in \mathcal{X}^{an} \mid |f(x)| \leq \lambda |g(x)|\}$ where f and g belong to $\mathcal{A}$ and λ is a positive real number. A rigid semi-analytic subset is the G-local version of this. First, in the framework of Berkovich spaces, when $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ and X is a compact Berkovich space, we explain how the finiteness results for semi-algebraic subsets can be extended to the case of rigid semi-analytic subsets of X. For instance Proposition 5.3 looks like:

Theorem 1.2. *Let k be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field. Let X be a compact k-analytic space, U a locally closed rigid-semi-analytic subset of X, and Λ a finite abelian group. Then the groups $H^q_c(U, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Then we explain another approach using adic spaces [Hub96] which gives different cohomology groups and has the advantage to work in any characteristic (proposition 5.9 and 5.10).

Let's briefly explain the differences and similarities between theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In the first case, one deals with k-analytic spaces $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ which are not compact in general, but the subsets U are defined with algebraic functions. In the second case, the k-analytic space X is required to be compact, but the subsets U are defined with analytic functions. However, the two results are proved in the same way. In the algebraic case, one uses Nagata's compactification to reduce to the compact case, and then to the affinoid case. Then the strategy is the following. If $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$, and for instance $U = \{x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq |g(x)|\}$, one considers (a kind of blowing up): $Y = \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{A}\{T\}/(f - Tg)\right)$ and its natural morphism $\varphi : Y \to X$. Then Y looks like U (except above the Zariski closed subset $V(f, g)$), so that it will be possible to calculate the cohomology of U from the cohomology of Y. Now for the cohomology of Y, we can use the finiteness results [Ber94, Cor 5.5] or [Hub98].

Acknowledgments I would like to express my deep gratitude to J.F. Dat and A. Ducros for their encouragements and advices. In particular I would like to thank

A. Ducros who suggested me to work on the question that F. Loeser had asked to him, and to use k-germs.

2. Notations

We now introduce the notations we will use. In what follows, k will be a complete non-archimedean field algebraically closed, X a Berkovich space. In [Ber93][3.4], if S is a subset of X, Berkovich defines the germ (X, S), and the category $\text{Ét}(X, S)$, that is equipped [*ibid.* 4.2] with a Grothendieck topology, and defines the étale site of the germ (X, S), denoted by $(X, S)_{\text{ét}}$. $\boldsymbol{S}(X, S)_{\text{ét}}$ is then by definition the category of abelian sheaves on $(X, S)_{\text{ét}}$.

We note C_S the family of compact subsets of S, and we consider the left exact functor Γ_c, of compactly supported sections:

$$\begin{array}{rccl}\Gamma_c: & \boldsymbol{S}(X,S) & \to & Ab \\ & F & \mapsto & \{s \in F(X,S) \mid \operatorname{supp}(s) \in C_S\}\end{array}$$

Its derived functors are denoted by $H^n_c((X,S),F)$.

This definition is a particular case of the following other definition. If Φ is a family of supports of S [*ibid.* 5.1], there is a left exact functor:

$$\begin{array}{rccl}\Gamma_\Phi: & \boldsymbol{S}(X,S) & \to & Ab \\ & F & \mapsto & \{s \in F(X,S) \mid \operatorname{supp}(s) \in \Phi\}\end{array}$$

whose derived functors are denoted by $H^n_\Phi((X,S),F)$. The case we will be interested in is C_S, which is a family of supports of S, and according to the above definition $H^n_c((X,S),F) = H^n_{C_S}((X,S),F)$.

If Φ is a family of supports of S, and $A \subset S$ we note

$$\Phi_A = \{F \in \Phi \mid F \subset A\} \tag{1}$$

which is a family of supports of A.

A family of supports Φ is said to be paracompactifying if for all $A \in \Phi$, A is paracompact, and if for all $A \in \Phi$, there exists $B \in \Phi$ which is a neighbourhood of A. If S is locally compact then C_S is paracompactifying. Moreover, if S is locally compact and U a locally closed subset of S, then ([Bou98, Prop 9.7.13]) U is locally compact.

Let Λ be a finite abelian group whose cardinal isn't divisible by the characteristic of $\tilde{k}$. We set

$$H^n_c(S,\Lambda) = H^n_c((X,S),\underline{\Lambda}) \tag{2}$$

where $\underline{\Lambda}$ corresponds to the constant sheaf on $(X,S)_{\acute{e}t}$. $\underline{\Lambda}$ could alternatively be defined as the sheafification of the constant presheaf, or as $\underline{\Lambda}_{(X,S)} = i^*_{(X,S)}(\underline{\Lambda})$ (see [Ber93, 4.2] for the definition of $i^*_{(X,S)}$).

Since X is locally compact, if S is a locally closed subset of X, C_S is a paracompactifying family of S.

Hence, using the result and the notations of [*ibid.* 5.2.6 (ii)], if S is locally closed in X, and T is an open subset of S, and we consider the family C_S of supports of S, which is paracompactifying, and if we set $R = S \setminus T$, there exists a long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \to H^q_{(C_S)_T}((X,T),\underline{\Lambda}_{(X,T)}) \to H^q_{C_S}((X,S),\underline{\Lambda}) \to H^q_{(C_S)_R}((X,R),\underline{\Lambda}_{(X,R)}) \to \cdots$$

where $(C_S)_T$ (resp. $(C_S)_R$) is defined with (1). But $(C_S)_T = C_T$ because being compact in T or in S is equivalent (likewise $(C_S)_R = C_R$). The long exact sequence then becomes

$$\cdots \to H^q_c(T,\Lambda) \to H^q_c(S,\Lambda) \to H^q_c(R,\Lambda) \to \cdots \tag{3}$$

If $\varphi : (Y,T) \to (X,S)$ is a quasi-immersion [*ibid.* 4.3.3] such that $S = \varphi(T)$, then [*ibid.* 4.3.4 (i)], φ_* induces an equivalence of categories $\varphi_* : \boldsymbol{S}(Y,T) \simeq \boldsymbol{S}(X,\varphi(T))$. In particular, if Z is an analytic domain or a Zariski closed subset of X, then $Z \simeq (Z,Z) \to (X,Z)$ is a quasi-immersion, and in that case: $H^q_c(Z,\Lambda) \simeq H^q_c((X,Z),\Lambda)$. We'll often use that quasi-immersions are stable under base change.

3. A FINITENESS RESULT IN THE AFFINOID CASE

Definition 3.1. *An affinoid algebraic space is the data of $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ an affinoid space, and of a presentation of $\mathcal{A}$ of the form $\mathcal{A} = k\{r_1^{-1}T_1 \dots r_n^{-1}T_n\}/\mathcal{I}$ where $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal finitely generated by some given polynomials, i.e. by some elements of $k[T_1 \dots T_n]$.*

In this context, we'll say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is a polynomial if it has a representative in $k[T_1 \dots T_n]$. The functions we'll consider will always be polynomials with respect to the presentation that is associated to X.

Definition 3.2. *If X is an algebraic affinoid space, a subset $S \subset X$ is called semi-algebraic if it is a finite boolean combination of subsets of the form $\{x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq \lambda |g(x)|\}$ where f and g are polynomials and λ is a positive real number.*

Remark 3.3. *In the rest of this section, from an algebraic affinoid space $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$, we'll build new algebraic affinoid spaces $Y = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. According to the definition of an algebraic space, we should then give a certain presentation of $\mathcal{B}$. When this will be clear from the context and to shorten the proofs, we'll sometimes won't do it.*
We'll say that a morphism between algebraic affinoid spaces $\varphi : X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \to Y = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. is an algebraic morphism if φ^* sends polynomials of Y to polynomials of X. In particular if $\varphi : X \to Y$ is an algebraic morphism , and $S \subset Y$ is a semi-algebraic (resp. algebraic Zariski-closed) subset of Y, then $\varphi^{-1}(S)$ is also a semi-algebraic (resp. algebraic Zariski-closed) subset of X.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(f_i, g_i)_{i=1..n}$ be polynomials on an algebraic affinoid space X. Let $\Diamond_i \in \{<, \leq\}$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ be given, and*

$$S = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \Diamond_i \lambda_i |g_i(x)|\}$$

Then the groups $H^q_c(S,\Lambda)$ are finite for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. First, note that S is a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of X. We prove it by induction on n.
If $n = 0$, then $S = X$ and the result is a consequence of the finiteness result [Ber94, Cor 5.5].
If

$$S = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \Diamond_i \lambda_i |g_i(x)|\}$$

and f, g are two other polynomials and, $\lambda > 0$, we set

$$T = \{x \in X \mid |g(x)|\lambda < |f(x)|\} \cap S$$

$$R = S \setminus T = \{x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq \lambda |g(x)|\} \cap S$$

We then have to show that $H^q_c(T, \Lambda)$ and $H^q_c(R, \Lambda)$ are finite groups. In fact if we apply the long exact sequence (3) to S, and T its open subset, by induction the groups $H^q_c(S, \Lambda)$ are finite, so we can restrict ourselves to show that the groups $H^q_c(R, \Lambda)$ are finite.

Let us consider $\varphi : Y \to X$ where

$$Y = \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{A}\{\lambda^{-1}U\}/(f - Ug)\right)$$

Since X is an algebraic affinoid space, Y can be naturally endowed with the structure of an algebraic affinoid space, and φ is than an algebraic morphism, moreover φ induces an isomorphism between

$$A = \{y \in Y \mid g(y) \neq 0\}$$

and the analytic domain of X :

$$B = \{x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq \lambda |g(x)| \text{ and } g(x) \neq 0\}$$

whence φ induces a quasi-immersion $\varphi : (Y, A) \to (X, B)$, and also a quasi-immersion

$$(Y, A \cap \varphi^{-1}(S)) \to (X, B \cap S) \tag{4}$$

But

$$\varphi^{-1}(S) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{y \in Y \mid |f_i(y)| \Diamond_i \lambda_i |g_i(y)|\}$$

Here we have written f_i (resp. g_i) whereas we should have written $\varphi^*(f_i)$ (resp. $\varphi^*(g_i)$). Moreover, since f_i and g_i are polynomials $\varphi^*(f_i)$ and $\varphi^*(g_i)$ are also polynomials. Hence by induction hypothesis, the groups $H^q_c(\varphi^{-1}(S), \Lambda)$ are finite. Now $A \cap \varphi^{-1}(S)$ is an open subset of $\varphi^{-1}(S)$, whose complementary in $\varphi^{-1}(S)$ is $\varphi^{-1}(S) \cap \{y \in Y \mid g(y) = 0\}$.

Let Z be the Zariski closed subset of Y defined by

$$Z = \{y \in Y \mid g(y) = 0\}$$

and $\psi : Z \to Y$ the associated closed immersion. We can then consider the quasi-immersion :

$$(Z, \psi^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(S))) \to (Y, Z \cap \varphi^{-1}(S))$$

By induction hypothesis the groups $H^q_c(\psi^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(S)), \Lambda)$ are finite, therefore it is also true for the groups $H^q_c(Z \cap \varphi^{-1}(S), \Lambda)$. Thus in the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^q_c(A \cap \varphi^{-1}(S), \Lambda) \to H^q_c(\varphi^{-1}(S), \Lambda) \to H^q_c(Z \cap \varphi^{-1}(S), \Lambda) \to \cdots$$

two of the three groups are finite and we conclude from this that the groups $H^q_c(A \cap \varphi^{-1}(S), \Lambda)$ are finite.

From this we conclude, thanks to the quasi-immersion (4) that the groups $H^q_c(B \cap S, \Lambda)$ are also finite.

If we go back to our starting point

$$B \cap S = \{x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq \lambda |g(x)| \text{ and } g(x) \neq 0\} \cap S$$

is an open subset of

$$R = S \cap \{x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq \lambda |g(x)|\}$$

The complementary subset of $B \cap S$ in R is

$$D = \{x \in S \mid |f(x)| \le \lambda |g(x)| \text{ and } g(x) = 0\} = \{x \in S \mid f(x) = g(x) = 0\}$$

We denote by Z' the Zariski closed subset of X :

$$Z' = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x) = 0\}$$

hence $D = Z' \cap S$, and using the same kind of arguments as above we can conclude that the groups $H_c^q(D, \Lambda)$ are finite.
We use one last time the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H_c^q(B \cap S, \Lambda) \to H_c^q(R, \Lambda) \to H_c^q(D, \Lambda) \to \cdots$$

We have shown that the groups on the left, and on the right are finite, whence the groups $H_c^q(R, \Lambda)$ are finite.

□

Next, we want to extend this result to an arbitrary locally closed semi-algebraic subset of X. In order to do so, we introduce the following notation.

Let $f_1, \dots f_r, g_1, \dots g_r$ be polynomials on X and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r > 0$. For $I \subseteq \{1 \dots r\}$ we set

$$C_I = \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \le \lambda_i |g_i(x)|\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \notin I} \{x \in X \mid |f_j(x)| > \lambda_j |g_j(x)|\} \right)$$

The subsets C_I induce a partition of X whose pieces are semi-algebraic subsets of X. If $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\{1 \dots r\})$, let's note

$$C_A = \coprod_{I \in A} C_I$$

This is a semi-algebraic subset of X, and in fact every semi-algebraic subset of X is of this form. Indeed, if S is a semi-algebraic subset of X, one can find some polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_r, g_1, \dots, g_r$ such that S is a finite union of subsets of the form

$$\{x \in \ \mid |f_{i_1}(x)| \lozenge_{i_1} |g_{i_1}(x)| \text{and} \dots \text{and} |f_{i_m}(x)| \lozenge_{i_m} |g_{i_m}(x)|\}$$

where $1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_m \le r$, and $\lozenge_j \in \{\le, >\}$.
For instance, if $S = \{|f_1| \le |g_1|\} \cup \{|f_2| > |g_2|\}$, $A = \{\{1,2\}, \{1\}, \emptyset\}$ is suitable:

$$S = \{|f_1| \le |g_1| \text{ and } |f_2| \le |g_2|\} \cup \{|f_1| \le |g_1| \text{ and } |f_2| > |g_2|\} \cup \{|f_1| > |g_1| \text{ and } |f_2| \le |g_2|\}$$

We now state one technical lemma that we need to perform the induction. In fact (see proposition 3.6) we'll use it only in the case $n = 0$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let r and n be two integers. Let $f_1, \dots f_r, g_1, \dots g_r$ be some polynomials of X, $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\{1 \dots r\})$, $F_1, \dots F_n, G_1, \dots G_n$ some other polynomials of X, $\lozenge_i \in \{<, \le\}$ for $i = 1 \dots n$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n > 0$ be some real numbers. Let us suppose that the semi-algebraic subset*

$$C = C_A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{x \in X \mid |F_i(x)| \lozenge_i \mu_i |G_i(x)|\})$$

is locally closed. Then the groups $H_c^q(C, \Lambda)$ are finite.

Proof. We prove it by induction on r.
If $r = 0$ this is precisely the preceding lemma.
If $r \geq 0$, $f_1, \dots f_{r+1}, g_1, \dots g_{r+1}$ are polynomials on X, $A \subset \mathcal{P}(\{1 \dots r+1\})$, and

$$C = C_A \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{x \in X \bigm| |F_i(x)| \Diamond_i \mu_i |G_i(x)|\} \right)$$

is a locally closed subset of X, we note

$$A_1 = \{P \in A \bigm| r+1 \in P\}$$

$$A_2 = \{P \in A \bigm| r+1 \notin P\} = A \setminus A_1$$

Finally we set

$$B_1 = \{P \setminus \{r+1\} \bigm| P \in A_1\}$$

and $B_2 = A_2$ which we consider as a subset of $\mathcal{P}(\{1 \dots r\})$.
We now consider the subsets of X, C_{B_1} and C_{B_2}, associated with the polynomials $f_1, \dots f_r, g_1, \dots g_r$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$. Then, by definition of B_1 and B_2,

$$C_A = (\{x \in X \bigm| |f_{r+1}(x)| \leq \lambda_{r+1} |g_{r+1}(x)|\} \cap C_{B_1}) \coprod (\{x \in X \bigm| |f_{r+1}(x)| > \lambda_{r+1} |g_{r+1}(x)|\} \cap C_{B_2})$$

Said more simply, we have partitioned the set $C_A = \coprod_{I \in A} C_I$ in two parts: on the left side, we have kept the $C_I's$ where the inequality $|f_{r+1}(x)| \leq \lambda_{r+1}|g_{r+1}(x)|$ appears, and on the right side, we have kept the $C_I's$ where the inequality $|f_{r+1}(x)| > \lambda_{r+1}|g_{r+1}(x)|$ appears, which allows us to restrict to subsets of $\{1 \dots r\}$.
And now, if we set :

$$C_1 = C_{B_1} \cap \{x \in X \bigm| |f_{r+1}(x)| \leq \lambda_{r+1}|g_{r+1}(x)|\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{x \in X \bigm| |F_i(x)| \Diamond_i \mu_i |G_i(x)|\} \right)$$

and

$$C_2 = C_{B_2} \cap \{x \in X \bigm| |f_{r+1}(x)| > \lambda_{r+1}|g_{r+1}(x)|\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{x \in X \bigm| |F_i(x)| \Diamond_i \mu_i |G_i(x)|\} \right)$$

the following holds :

$$C_1 = C \cap \{x \in X \bigm| |f_{r+1}| \leq \lambda_{r+1}|g_{r+1}(x)|\}$$

$$C_2 = C \cap \{x \in X \bigm| |f_{r+1}| > \lambda_{r+1}|g_{r+1}(x)|\}$$

So $C = C_1 \coprod C_2$, C_2 is an open subset of C, and C_1 is the closed complementary subset attached to it, in particular, C_1 and C_2 are locally closed in X. But we can now apply our induction hypothesis to C_1 and C_2, and with the long exact sequence (3) applied to $C_2 \subset C \supset C_1$ we can prove that the groups $H^q_c(C, \Lambda)$ are finite. □

The previous lemma, with $n = 0$ becomes:

Proposition 3.6. *Let S be a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of X. The groups $H^q_c(S, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

4. Proof of the main theorem

4.1. **Finiteness result for semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$.** In this section, we fix $\mathcal{X}$ a separated k-scheme of finite type, and we want to extend the finiteness result of the previous section to locally closed semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$.

Definition 4.1. [Duc03, 1.2] *Let A be a k-algebra of finite type, $\mathcal{Y} = Spec(A)$. A subset S of $\mathcal{Y}^{an}$ is called a semi-algebraic subset (relatively to A), if it can be defined by a finite boolean combination of subsets of the form $\{y \in \mathcal{Y}^{an} \mid |f(y)| \leq \lambda |g(y)|\}$ where $f, g \in A$ and λ is a positive real number .*

Definition 4.2. *A subset S of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ is called Zariski-locally semi-algebraic if it can be written as a finite union : $S = \cup_{i=1}^{n} S_i$ where for each $i = 1 \dots n$ there exists an open affine subset U_i of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $S_i \subseteq U_i^{an}$ and S_i is a semi-algebraic subset of U_i^{an} (in the sense of the above definition).*

Lemma 4.3. *If S is a Zariski-locally semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ and U is an open affine subset of $\mathcal{X}$, then $S \cap U^{an}$ is a semi-algebraic subset of U^{an}.*

Proof. Let $S = \cup_{i=1}^{n} S_i$ where the S_i are semi-algebraic subsets of U_i^{an}, the subsets U_i being some open affine subsets of $\mathcal{X}$. Then $S_i \cap U^{an} \subset U_i^{an} \cap U^{an} \simeq (U \cap U_i)^{an}$. If we take an open affine cover $\{W_{1,i}, \dots, W_{M,i}\}$ of $U \cap U_i$, then

$$S_i \cap U^{an} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{M} \left(W_{j,i}^{an} \cap S_i \right)$$

Now for each j, $W_{j,i}^{an} \cap S_i$ is a semi-algebraic subset of $W_{j,i}^{an}$, then according to [Duc03, 2.5], $W_{j,i}^{an} \cap S_i$ is a semi-algebraic subset of U^{an}, whence S is a semi-algebraic subset of U^{an}. □

If $\mathcal{X}$ is affine, taking $U = \mathcal{X}$, this lemma ensures that the two definitions of semi-algebraic and Zariski-locally semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ coincide. Since there is no confusion, we'll then talk about semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ instead of Zariski-locally semi-algebraic subsets :

Definition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a separated k-scheme of finite type. A subset S of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ is called semi-algebraic if it is Zariski-locally semi-algebraic (see definition 4.2).*

Note that by definition, if $\mathcal{Y}$ is an (Zariski-)open subset of $\mathcal{X}$ and S is a Zariski-locally semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{Y}^{an}$, then S is also Zariski-locally semi-algebraic in $\mathcal{X}^{an}$.
If S is a semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$, according to the notations we introduced earlier 2, we'll note $H_c^q(S, \Lambda) = H_c^q((\mathcal{X}^{an}, S), \Lambda)$.

Definition 4.5. *Let V be an affine open subsets of $\mathcal{X}$, which comes equipped with a datum $V = Spec(k[T_1 \dots T_m]/\mathcal{I})$. Let's note $\hat{V} = \{x \in V^{an} \mid |T_i(x)| \leq 1 \ \ i = 1 \dots n\}$. This is an algebraic affinoid space in the sense of definition 3.1. Moreover, this is a closed semi algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$. Note moreover, that if S is a semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $S \subseteq \hat{V}$, then S is a semi-algebraic subset of $\hat{V}$ in the sense of definition 3.2.*

Lemma 4.6. *Let $V_1, \dots, V_n$ be affine open subsets of $\mathcal{X}$ and S a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$. Then the groups $H_c^q(S \cap (\hat{V_1} \cup \dots \cup \hat{V_n}), \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Proof. We prove it by induction on n.
If $n=1$, by the previous remark, $S \cap \hat{V}$ is a semi-algebraic subset of $\hat{V}$ in the sense of the previous section, moreover, $H_c^q((\hat{V} \cap S), \Lambda) = H_c^q((\mathcal{X}^{an}, S), \Lambda)$ because $(\hat{V}, S) \to (\mathcal{X}^{an}, S)$ is a quasi-immersion, hence we can apply proposition 3.6.
If the result is true for $n > 0$ and if $V_1, \ldots, V_{n+1}$ are $n+1$ affine open subsets of $\mathcal{X}$, we set :

$$T = S \cap (\hat{V_1} \cup \ldots \cup \hat{V_{n+1}})$$

$$R = S \cap (\hat{V_1} \cup \ldots \cup \hat{V_n})$$

$$W = T \setminus R$$

Then R is a closed subset of T (because $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ is separated, whence $\hat{V_1} \cup \ldots \cup \hat{V_n}$ is closed in $\hat{V_1} \cup \ldots \cup \hat{V_{n+1}}$), W is a semi-algebraic subset (locally closed too) included in $\hat{V_{n+1}}$. By induction hypothesis the groups $H_c^q(R, \Lambda)$ are finite. Moreover $H_c^q(W, \Lambda) = H_c^q((\mathcal{X}^{an}, W), \Lambda) = H_c^q((\hat{V_{n+1}}, W), \Lambda)$ so we use again proposition 3.6 to conclude that these groups are finite. With the long exact sequence (3) we conclude again. □

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a separated k-scheme of finite type, S a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$. Then the groups $H_c^q(S, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a compactification of $\mathcal{X}$ (here we use Nagata's theorem). Then $\mathcal{Y}$ is proper (in particular separated), $\mathcal{Y}^{an}$ is compact, and S is also a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{Y}^{an}$. With compactness of $\mathcal{Y}^{an}$ we can find a finite number, say n, of open affine subsets $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}^{an} = \cup_{i=1}^n \hat{U_i}$. Then

$$H_c^q(S, \Lambda) = H_c^q((\mathcal{X}^{an}, S), \Lambda) = H_c^q((\mathcal{Y}^{an}, S), \Lambda) = H_c^q(S \cap (\hat{U_1} \cup \ldots \cup \hat{U_n}), \Lambda)$$

which is finite according to the previous proposition. □

4.2. **About the dimension.** Let X be a Berkovich space. We denote by d the dimension of X (as defined in [Ber90, p.34]).

Proposition 4.8. [Ber93, Cor 5.3.8]. *If k is algebraically closed, Y is a Hausdorff Berkovich space of dimension d, $\mathcal{F}$ a torsion abelian sheaf on Y, then for all $i > 2d$, $H_c^i(Y, \mathcal{F}) = 0$.*

We can generalize this result in the following way:

Proposition 4.9. *Let X be a Hausdorff Berkovich space of dimension d over an algebraically closed field k. Let S be a locally closed subset of X. For $q > 2d$, and $F \in \boldsymbol{S}(X)$ an abelian torsion sheaf on X, $H_c^q((X, S), F) = 0$ for all $q > 2d$.*

Proof. Write $S = U \cap F$ with U an open subset of X and F a closed subset. Set $V = U \setminus S$ which is an open subset of U and X. Then $H_c^q((X,S),F) \simeq H_c^q((U,S),F)$ and $H_c^q((U,V),F) \simeq H_c^q(V,F)$, hence in the long exact sequence (3)

$$\cdots \to H_c^q((U,V),F) \to H_c^q(U,F) \to H_c^q((U,S),F) \to \cdots$$

according to the previous proposition, the groups are 0 on the left and in the middle for $q > 2d$, so this must also occur for the groups on the right. □

4.3. **Finiteness of the l-adic cohomology.** In this section, $\mathcal{X}$ will be a separated k-scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field k. For l a prime number not equal to the characteristic of $\tilde{k}$, S a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$, let's set

$$H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l) = \varprojlim_{n>0} H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \tag{5}$$

and

$$H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Q}_l) = H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l}\mathbb{Q}_l \tag{6}$$

It is a classical fact that, proposition 4.7 implies that the groups $H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_l$-modules, and as a consequence, $H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Q}_l)$ are finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector spaces. For completeness, we give here a proof.

Definition 4.10. *If M is a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module, we say that M is l-complete (some people would say complete and separated) if the canonical map*

$$\varphi : M \to \hat{M} = \varprojlim_{n\geq 0} M/l^nM$$

is an isomorphism.

The following easy fact is proven for instance in [Jan88][4.4]

Proposition 4.11. *Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -module. The following are equivalent :*

- *M is l-complete.*
- *There exists a projective system of $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of finite exponents $\mathbb{Z}_l$-modules (by finite exponent, we mean that for all n there is an m with $l^mM_n = 0$) such that*

$$M \simeq \varprojlim_{n\geq 0} M_n$$

Proposition 4.12. *Let M be a complete $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module. Then M is finitely generated if and only if M/lM is finite.*

Proof. If M is finitely generated, M/lM is clearly finite.
Conversely, if M/lM is generated by the elements $m_1,\dots,m_N$ from M, we can show by induction on n that for each $n\geq 0$, $l^nM/(l^{n+1}M)$ is generated by $l^n(m_1,\dots m_N)$. Indeed, this is true by hypothesis for $n=0$. Now, if $n>0$, and $x\in l^nM$, say $x=\sum_i l^nx_i$, then $x = l\sum_i l^{n-1}x_i$ and by induction hypothesis, there exists $y\in l^{n-1}(m_1\dots m_N)$ such that $y=\sum_i l^{n-1}x_i \mod l^nM$. Hence $ly\in l^n(m_1\dots m_N)$ and $ly=\sum_i l^nx_i = x \mod l^{n+1}M$.
Hence if $x\in M$, one can inductively define a sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that $x_n\in (m_1\dots m_N)$, $x_n = x \mod l^nM$ and $x_{n+1}-x_n\in l^n(m_1\dots m_n)$. Hence in $\mathbb{Z}_l(m_1\dots m_N)$, (x_n) has a limit which is x.

□

Proposition 4.13. *The groups $H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_l$-modules. Hence, $H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Q}_l)$ is a finitely generated vector space for all q, and $H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Q}_l)=\{0\}$ for $q>2d$, where d is the dimension of $\mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. According to proposition 4.7 and 4.11, $H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is a complete $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module. For each $n \geq 0$ we have the exact sequence of groups

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\mu_n} \mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

where

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mu_n : & \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z} & \to & \mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}\mathbb{Z} \\ & x \bmod l^n & \mapsto & lx \bmod l^{n+1} \end{array}$$

and π is the reduction map. If we take the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to this, we get the long exact sequence of projective systems :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} & {} & {} & {} & {} & {} \\
{} \arrow[r, dotted] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\mu_n"] \arrow[u, dotted] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, dotted] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, dotted] & H^{i+1}_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, dotted] \arrow[u, dotted] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r, dotted] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\mu_{n+1}"] \arrow[u, "\alpha"] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+2}\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\beta"] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, equal] & H^{i+1}_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, dotted] \arrow[u, dotted] & {} \\
{} & {} \arrow[u, dotted] & {} \arrow[u, dotted] & {} \arrow[u, dotted] & {} \arrow[u, dotted] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the first two vertical arrows α and β correspond to the natural projections. In addition, one checks that the composite $\mu_n \circ \alpha$ is just multiplication by l. By the previous section, the groups are all finite, so the functor $\varprojlim$ is exact (this is a particular case of the Mittag-Leffler condition), and hence applying this functor (i.e. $\varprojlim$), we obtain the long exact sequence:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} \arrow[r, dotted] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l) \arrow[r, "\times l"] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l) \arrow[r] & H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & H^{i+1}_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l) \arrow[r, dotted] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular , this implies that we have an injection:

$$0 \to H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l)/(l.H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l)) \to H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l\mathbb{Z})$$

and we conclude that $H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l)/(l.H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Z}_l))$ is finite. We then conclude with proposition 4.12. Finally, the fact that $H^q_c(S,\mathbb{Q}_l) = \{0\}$ is an direct consequence of proposition 4.9. $\square$

Using again the exactness of $\varprojlim$ when all the groups are finite, and the long exact sequence (3), when S is a locally closed semi-algebraic subset, $V \subseteq S$ is a semi-algebraic subset which is open in S and $F = S \setminus V$, then there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^i_c(V,\mathbb{Q}_l) \to H^i_c(S,\mathbb{Q}_l) \to H^i_c(F,\mathbb{Q}_l) \to \cdots$$

4.4. **Continuous Galois action.** For the remaining part of this section we do not assume any more that k is algebraically closed and we consider X a Hausdorff Berkovich space over k. Let S be a semi-algebraic subset locally closed of X. Let's note $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = X\hat{\otimes}_k\widehat{k^a}$, $\pi : \overline{X} \to X$, the projection, and $\overline{S} = \pi^{-1}(S)$. This is a semi-algebraic subset of $\overline{X}$ defined by the same functions than those of S in X, and it is still locally closed. There is an action of $Gal(k^{sep}/k)$ on $\overline{X}$ which stabilizes $\overline{S}$. Hence $Gal(k^{sep}/k)$ acts on the germ $(\overline{X},\overline{S})$. If $\mathcal{F} \in \boldsymbol{S}(X,S)$, we note $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*(\mathcal{F})$.

The action of $Gal(k^{sep}/k)$ on $(\overline{X},\overline{S})$ induces an action on $H^i_c(\overline{S},\overline{\mathcal{F}})$. Indeed for $\sigma \in Gal(k^{sep}/k)$ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\overline{X},\overline{S}) \arrow[rr, "\sigma"] \arrow[dr, "\pi"] & & (\overline{X},\overline{S}) \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\
& (X,S) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the action of σ on the cohomology is given by :

$$\sigma^* : \ H^i_c((\overline{X},\overline{S}),\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq H^i_c((\overline{X},\overline{S}),\sigma^*\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq H^i_c((\overline{X},\overline{S}),\overline{\mathcal{F}})$$

(the last isomorphism being a consequence of the isomorphism $\sigma^* \circ \pi^*(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \pi^*(\mathcal{F})$).
If (X,S) is a germ on X, and K is a complete extension of k, we consider $\pi_K : X_K = X\hat{\otimes}_k K \to X$ and we note $S_K = \pi_K^{-1}(S)$, so that we can consider the germ (X_K,S_K).

Proposition 4.14. *If X is a Berkovich Hausdorff space on k, F a closed subset of X, $\mathcal{F} \in \boldsymbol{S}(X)$, there is an isomorphism of Galois modules:*

$$\varinjlim_{K/k} H^q_c((X_K,F_K),\mathcal{F}_K) \simeq H^q_c((\overline{X},\overline{F}),\overline{\mathcal{F}})$$

where the limit is taken over all finite separable extensions K of k.

Proof. For Y a Hausdorff Berkovich k-space and $\mathcal{G} \in \boldsymbol{S}(Y)$, the following is true [Ber93, 5.3.5]:

$$\varinjlim_{K/k} H^q_c(Y_K,\mathcal{G}_K) \simeq H^q_c(\overline{Y},\overline{\mathcal{G}})$$

It is an isomorphism of Galois-modules. In our situation, let $U = X \setminus F$ the open subset of X. For K a finite separable extension of k, F_K is a closed subset of X_K whose complementary open subset is U_K. Hence we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} \arrow[r, dotted] & \varinjlim_{K/k} H^q_c(U_K,\mathcal{F}_K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \varinjlim_{K/k} H^q_c(X_K,\mathcal{F}_K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \varinjlim_{K/k} H^q_c((X_K,F_K),\mathcal{F}_K) \arrow[r, dotted] \arrow[d] & {} \\
{} \arrow[r, dotted] & H^q_c(\overline{U},\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \arrow[r] & H^q_c(\overline{X},\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \arrow[r] & H^q_c((\overline{X},\overline{F}),\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \arrow[r, dotted] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The first row is exact because $\varinjlim$ is an exact functor (we consider a filtered inductive limit) we can then conclude thanks to the five lemma. □

This property shows that if (X,S) is a k-germ with S locally closed in X, and $\mathcal{F} \in \boldsymbol{S}(X)$,

$$\varinjlim_{K/k} H^q_c((X_K,S_K),\mathcal{F}_K) \simeq H^q_c((\overline{X},\overline{S}),\overline{\mathcal{F}})$$

Indeed we write $S = U \cap F$ with U an open subset of X, and F a closed subset. $F \cap U$ is a closed subset of U and we apply the preceding property (and the fact that $(U,S) \to (X,S)$ is a quasi-immersion). Hence the action of $Gal(k^{sep}/k)$ on $H^q_c((\overline{X},\overline{S}),\overline{\mathcal{F}})$ is continuous.
In particular, if S is a locally closed subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$, we can find an open subset U of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ which contains S and such that S is closed in U. Hence

$$H^q_c(\overline{S},\Lambda) = H^q_c((\overline{\mathcal{X}^{an}},\overline{S}),\Lambda) = H^q_c((\overline{U},\overline{S}),\Lambda)$$

is a continuous Galois module. And taking projective limit, we also conclude that $H^q_c(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is a continuous Galois module.

4.5. **Künneth Formula.**

Definition 4.15. *Let Λ be a ring. A complex $M^\bullet$ of Λ-modules is called strictly perfect if it is bounded, and for all n, M^n is a finitely generated projective Λ-module.*

Proposition 4.16. *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& (X \times_S Y, R \times_S T) = (X,R) \times_S (Y,T) \arrow[ld, "g'"'] \arrow[rd, "f'"] \arrow[dd, "h"] & \\
(X,R) \arrow[rd, "f"] & & (Y,T) \arrow[ld, "g"] \\
& S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a cartesian square of k-germs, where R (resp. T) is locally closed in X (resp. in Y), X, Y and S being some Hausdorff Berkovich spaces. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}^-(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}^-(Y, \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{R}f_!\mathcal{F} \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathbf{R}g_!\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathbf{R}h_! \left((g'^*\mathcal{F}) \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} (f'^*(\mathcal{G})\right)$$

Proof. Since R is locally closed, $R = U \cap F$ where U is an open subset of X, and F a closed subset, so R is closed in U, and since the inclusion $(U,R) \to (X,R)$ is a quasi-immersion, replacing X by U, we can assume that R is closed in X. Let's set $U := X \setminus R$ the complementary open subset.
In a first step, let's assume that $T = Y$, that is to say that $(Y,T) = Y$. Remark that (X,U) and U are isomorphic as k-germs. We then consider the following three cartesian diagrams :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& (X,R) \times_S Y \arrow[ld, "g'"'] \arrow[rd, "f'"] \arrow[dd, "h"] & \\
(X,R) \arrow[rd, "f"'] & & Y \arrow[ld, "g"] \\
& S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& U \times_S Y \arrow[ld, "g'_U"'] \arrow[rd, "f'_U"] \arrow[dd, "h_U"] & \\
U \arrow[rd, "f_U"'] & & Y \arrow[ld, "g_U"] \\
& S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& X\times_S Y \arrow[dl, "g'_X"'] \arrow[dd, "h_X"] \arrow[dr, "f'_X"] & \\
X \arrow[dr, "f_X"'] & & Y \arrow[dl, "g_X"] \\
& S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We then obtain a commutative diagram of distinguished triangles:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\left(\mathbf{R}f_{U!}(\mathcal{F}_{|U})\right) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} (\mathbf{R}g_!\mathcal{G}) \arrow[r, "1"] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{R}h_{U!}\left(g'^*_U(\mathcal{F}_{|U}) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} (f'^*_U(\mathcal{G}))\right) \arrow[d] \\
(\mathbf{R}f_{X!}(\mathcal{F})) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} (\mathbf{R}g_!\mathcal{G}) \arrow[r, "2"] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{R}h_{X!}\left(g'^*_X\mathcal{F} \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} (f'^*_X(\mathcal{G}))\right) \arrow[d] \\
\left(\mathbf{R}f_!(\mathcal{F}_{|(X,R)})\right) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} (\mathbf{R}g_!\mathcal{G}) \arrow[r, "3"] \arrow[d, "{[-1]}"] & \mathbf{R}h_!\left(g'^*(\mathcal{F}_{|(X,R)}) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} (f'^*(\mathcal{G}))\right) \arrow[d, "{[-1]}"] \\
{} & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

According to [Ber93, 7.7.3] the arrows 1 and 2 are isomorphisms. So 3 (which is constructed in the same way as in *loc.cit.*) is also an isomorphism.
In a second (and last) step, if (Y,T) is a locally closed k-germ, as above we can assume that T is closed in Y, so that if we set $V := Y \setminus T$, V is an open subset of Y and $V \to (Y,V)$ is a quasi-immersion. Hence according to the first step, the proposition holds for the k-germs (Y,V) and Y, so using again the distinguished triangle associated to (Y,V) and (Y,T), we can conclude. ☐

Exactly in the same way, we can generalize [Ber93, 5.3.10] to k-germs:

Proposition 4.17. *Let $\varphi : Y \to X$ be a Hausdorff morphism of finite dimension, $\mathcal{G} \in D^b(Y,\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$ of finite* Tor-*dimension, and $\mathcal{F} \in D(X,\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$. Let T be a locally closed subspace of Y, and note $f = \varphi_{|(Y,T)}$. Then $\mathbf{R}f_!(\mathcal{G}_{|(Y,T)})$ is also of finite* Tor-*dimension, and there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F} \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} \mathbf{R}f_!(\mathcal{G}_{|(Y,T)}) \simeq \mathbf{R}f_!(f^*(\mathcal{F}) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} \mathcal{G}_{|(Y,T)})$$

We now apply proposition 4.16 to the following situation: we assume that $S = \mathcal{M}(k)$ and we'll take constant sheaves. In that case $\mathbf{R}f_! = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_c$, and we have the following isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}^-(\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}-\mathrm{Mod})$:

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X,R),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((Y,T),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X\times Y, R\times T),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \tag{7}$$

Our goal is now to pass from $\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients to $\mathbb{Q}_l$ coefficients which is achieved in proposition 4.20. The following arguments are very close to what can be found in [Mil80, VI 8].

Using proposition 4.17 with $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}/l^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$, yields the following isomorphism in $D^-(\mathbb{Z}/l^{n-1}\mathbb{Z} - \mathrm{Mod})$:

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X,R),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes}} \mathbb{Z}/l^{n-1}\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X,R),\mathbb{Z}/l^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}) \tag{8}$$

In what follows, we'll work with complexes $M^\bullet$ of $\mathbb{Z}_l$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$) modules. According to the context, we'll either see $M^\bullet$ as a *real* complex, or as its image in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_l - \mathrm{Mod})$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z} - \mathrm{Mod})$). For instance when we'll take projective limits $\varprojlim_n M_n^\bullet$, this will always mean that the $M_n^\bullet$'s are *real* complexes, and this projective limit will be the projective limit of these *real* complexes. In the same way, if $M^\bullet$ and $N^\bullet$ are *real* complexes, $M^\bullet \otimes N^\bullet$ will denote the total tensor product of the *real* complexes, whereas $M^\bullet \overset{L}{\otimes} N^\bullet$ will denote the total tensor product of $M^\bullet$ and $N^\bullet$ seen as objects of the derived category.
Now we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.18. *For each $n \geq 1$, let $A_n^\bullet$ and $B_n^\bullet$ be strictly perfect complexes of $\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$-modules, and for each $n \geq 2$ let $\varphi_n : A_n^\bullet \to A_{n-1}^\bullet$ (resp. $\psi_n : B_n^\bullet \to B_{n-1}^\bullet$) be a morphism of complex of $\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$-modules, such that the canonical morphism $A_n^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^{n-1}\mathbb{Z} \to A_{n-1}^\bullet$ (resp. $B_n^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^{n-1}\mathbb{Z} \to B_{n-1}^\bullet$) is a quasi-isomorphism. Then there is a canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_l - Mod)$:*

$$\varprojlim_{n\geq 1}(A_n^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} B_n^\bullet) \simeq (\varprojlim_{n\geq 1} A_n^\bullet) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} (\varprojlim_{n\geq 1} B_n^\bullet)$$

Proof. According to [FK88][I 12.5], there exists a strictly perfect complex $A^\bullet$ of $\mathbb{Z}_l$-modules and for each n a quasi-isomorphism $\alpha_n : A^\bullet/(l^n A^\bullet) \to A_n^\bullet$ such that for all n the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

$$\begin{tikzcd} A^\bullet/(l^n A^\bullet) \arrow[r, "\alpha_n"] \arrow[d, "red"] & A_n^\bullet \arrow[d, "\varphi_n"] \\ A^\bullet/(l^{n-1} A^\bullet) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{n-1}"] & A_{n-1}^\bullet \end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

and likewise there exists a strictly perfect complex of $\mathbb{Z}_l$-modules $B^\bullet$ and some quasi-isomorphisms $\beta_n : B^\bullet/(l^n B^\bullet) \to B_n^\bullet$ such that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

$$\begin{tikzcd} B^\bullet/(l^n B^\bullet) \arrow[r, "\beta_n"] \arrow[d, "red"] & B_n^\bullet \arrow[d, "\psi_n"] \\ B^\bullet/(l^{n-1} B^\bullet) \arrow[r, "\beta_{n-1}"] & B_{n-1}^\bullet \end{tikzcd} \tag{10}$$

Remind that if M is a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module of finite type, there is a functorial isomorphism:

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{n\geq 1} M/(l^n M) \tag{11}$$

We then obtain the following quasi-isomorphisms:

$$A^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n (A^\bullet/(l^n A^\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n (A_n^\bullet), \tag{12}$$

where the first arrow is an isomorphism of complexes according to (11) and the second arrow is a quasi-isomorphism according to Mittag Leffler condition and the fact that all the modules involved are of finite type. The similar results holds for $B^\bullet$.

We then obtain the following sequence of isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_l - \mathrm{Mod})$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\varprojlim_n A_n^\bullet) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} (\varprojlim_n B_n^\bullet) \xleftarrow{\sim} A^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} B^\bullet && (13)\\
&\simeq A^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes} B^\bullet && (14)\\
&\xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n \left((A^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes} B^\bullet)/(l^n(A^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes} B^\bullet)) \right) && (15)\\
&\xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n \left((A^\bullet/(l^n A^\bullet)) \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} (B^\bullet/(l^n B^\bullet)) \right) && (16)\\
&\xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n \left(A_n^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} (B^\bullet/(l^n B^\bullet)) \right) && (17)\\
&\xleftarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n (A_n^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} B_n^\bullet) && (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

The isomorphism (13) holds thanks to (12), (14) holds because $A^\bullet$ and $B^\bullet$ are flat, (15) is remark (11), (16) is base change for tensor product.

Finally to obtain (17) we take the tensor product of the first (resp. second) line of diagram (9) with $B^\bullet/(l^n B^\bullet)$ (resp. $B^\bullet/(l^{n-1} B^\bullet)$). The resulting diagram still commutes up to homotopy and since $B^\bullet/(l^n B^\bullet)$ is a projective complex, the horizontal lines are still quasi-isomorphisms. Hence (thanks to Mittag Leffler condition), we obtain (17).

Similarly, for (18), we take the tensor product of the first (resp. second) line of diagram (10) with $A_n^\bullet$ (resp. $A_{n-1}^\bullet$). Since $A_n^\bullet$ is a projective complex, the horizontal lines remain quasi-isomorphisms and we can conclude with the same argument. □

Remark 4.19. *Note that we've implicitly used the following result: if $M_1^\bullet$, $M_2^\bullet$ and $M_3^\bullet$ are bounded above complexes of Λ-module such that $M_3^\bullet$ is projective, and $f : M_1^\bullet \to M_2^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then $f \otimes id : M_1^\bullet \otimes M_3^\bullet \to M_2^\bullet \otimes M_3^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism* [Wei94, 10.6.2]

Proposition 4.20. *Let (X,R), (Y,T) be k-germs such that for all n and q, $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X,R),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((Y,T),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$ have finite cohomology groups. Then the cohomology groups of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X,R)\times(Y,T),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$ are also finite and for all $r \geq 0$ we have a canonical isomorphism:*

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=r} H_c^p\left((X,R),\mathbb{Q}_l\right) \otimes H_c^q\left((Y,T),\mathbb{Q}_l\right) \simeq H_c^r\left((X\times Y, R\times T),\mathbb{Q}_l\right)$$

Proof. The complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X,R),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((Y,T),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})$ have bounded cohomology groups, are of finite type by hypothesis, and according to proposition 4.17 are of finite Tor-dimension, so we can choose some resolutions by some strictly perfect complexes of the projective systems:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_n^\bullet & \to & \mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X,R),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})\\
P_n^\bullet & \to & \mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((Y,T),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})\\
Q_n^\bullet & \to & \mathbf{R}\Gamma_c((X,R)\times(Y,T),\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})
\end{array}
$$

In addition, according to (7) we can find up to homotopy a quasi-isomorphism, of projective systems:

$$K_n^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} P_n^\bullet \simeq Q_n^\bullet \tag{19}$$

Moreover according to (8), $K_n^\bullet$ and $P_n^\bullet$ fulfil the hypothesis of lemma 4.18. We then denote by

$$\begin{aligned} K^\bullet &= \varprojlim_n (K_n^\bullet) \\ P^\bullet &= \varprojlim_n (P_n^\bullet) \\ Q^\bullet &= \varprojlim_n (Q_n^\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

Remark that (thanks to Mittag Leffler property again) $H^p(K^\bullet) = H_c^p((X,R),\mathbb{Z}_l)$ (and similarly for $P^\bullet$ and $Q^\bullet$). In $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_l - \mathrm{Mod})$ we consider the following sequence of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} K^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} P^\bullet &\simeq \varprojlim_n (K_n^\bullet) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} \varprojlim_n (P_n^\bullet) \qquad (20)\\ &\simeq \varprojlim_n (K_n^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} P_n^\bullet) \qquad (21)\\ &\simeq \varprojlim_n (Q_n^\bullet) \qquad (22)\\ &\simeq Q^\bullet \qquad (23) \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism (20) holds by definition of $K^\bullet$ and $P^\bullet$, (21) holds thanks to lemma 4.18, (22) is just a consequence of (19), and (23) holds by definition of $Q^\bullet$.
We then obtain the following isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_l - \mathrm{Mod})$:

$$\left(K^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} \mathbb{Q}_l\right) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Q}_l}{\otimes}} \left(P^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} \mathbb{Q}_l\right) \simeq \left(K^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} P^\bullet\right) \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} \mathbb{Q}_l \simeq Q^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes}} \mathbb{Q}_l$$

But since $\mathbb{Q}_l$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}_l$, and is a field, we can remove all the L, and since $H^p(K^\bullet \underset{\mathbb{Z}_l}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_l) = H_c^p((X,R),\mathbb{Q}_l)$ (and similarly for $P^\bullet$ and $Q^\bullet$), the result follows. □

4.6. **Statement of the main theorem.** We sum up all results of this section:

Theorem 4.21. *Let k be a non-archimedean complete valued field, $\mathcal{X}$ a separated k-scheme of finite type of dimension d, U a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$, and $l \neq char(\tilde{k})$ be a prime number. We denote by $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{X}^{an}} \to \mathcal{X}^{an}$ and $\overline{U} = \pi^{-1}(U)$.*

1. *The groups $H_c^i(\overline{U},\mathbb{Q}_l)$ are finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector spaces, endowed with a continuous $Gal(k^{sep}/k)$-action, and $H_c^i(\overline{U},\mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$ for $i > 2d$.*
2. *If $V \subset U$ is a semi-algebraic subset which is open in U, with complement F (i.e. $F = U \setminus V$), then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} {} \arrow[r, dotted] & H_c^i(\overline{V},\mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r] & H_c^i(\overline{U},\mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r] & H_c^i(\overline{F},\mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r] & H_c^{i+1}(\overline{V},\mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r, dotted] & {} \end{tikzcd}$$

3. *For all integer n there are canonical isomorphisms:*

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=n} H_c^i\left(\overline{U},\mathbb{Q}_l\right) \otimes H_c^j\left(\overline{V},\mathbb{Q}_l\right) \simeq H_c^n\left(\overline{U \times V},\mathbb{Q}_l\right)$$

5. Extension to rigid semi-analytic subsets

In this section we are going to extend our finiteness result to the case of semi-analytic subsets, and also to explain how these results can be translated in the theory of adic spaces developed by Roland Huber [Hub96]. In order to avoid confusion, we'll write $H^*_{c,Ber}$ (resp. $H^*_{c,Hub}$) to talk about the cohomology theory of [Ber93] (resp. [Hub96]).

Definition 5.1 ([LR05] 6.1)**.** *Let $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ be an affinoid space. A subset $S \subseteq X$ is called globally semi-analytic if it is a finite boolean combination of subsets of the form $\{x \in X \,\big|\, |f(x)| \leq |g(x)|\}$ with , $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.*
Finally, if X is a compact k-analytic space, a subset $S \subseteq X$ is called rigid semi-analytic if there exists a finite cover $X = \cup_{i=1}^n U_i$ by affinoid domains such that $S \cap U_i$ is globally semi-analytic in U_i for all i.

5.1. **The Berkovich case.** In this paragraph, we assume that k is a complete algebraically closed field field of characteristic 0. We lay stress on the fact that locally closed will mean for the Berkovich topology.

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a k-affinoid space, S a locally closed globally semi-analytic subset of X. Then the groups $H^q_{c,Ber}(S, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Proof. For Y a k-affinoid space, it is proved in [Hub98] that the groups $H^q_{Hub}(Y, \Lambda)$ are finite, moreover, the comparison theorem between Berkovich and Huber's cohomologies [Hub96][8.3.3] implies that the groups $H^q_{Ber}(Y, \Lambda)$ are finite. But in the Berkovich's theory, since Y is compact, $H^q_{c,Ber}(Y, \Lambda) = H^q_{Ber}(Y, \Lambda)$ is then finite. We can then replicate the proof of lemma 3.4, where we have used the algebraicity hypothesis only for the base case of an affinoid space. And we can also prove the analogous of lemma 3.5 to conclude. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let X be a compact k-analytic space, S a locally closed rigid-semi-analytic subset of X, then the groups $H^q_{c,Ber}(S, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Proof. If $X = \cup_{i=1}^n U_i$ is a finite cover of X by affinoid domains such that $S \cap U_i$ is globally semi-analytic in U_i for all i, we prove by induction on $1 \leq m \leq n$ that the groups $H^q_{c,Ber}(S \cap (\cup_{i=1}^m U_i))$ are finite.
For $m = 1$ this is the previous lemma, and in order to perform the induction step, we mimic the proof of lemma 4.6: if
$T = S \cap (U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_{m+1})$
$R = S \cap (U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_m)$
$W = T \setminus R = U_{m+1} \cap (S \setminus (U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_m))$
then W is a globally semi-analytic subset of U_{m+1} (because X is quasi-separated, so for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $U_{m+1} \cap U_i$ is an affinoid subdomain of U_{m+1}, hence closed and globally semi-analytic in U_{m+1} according to the Gerritzen-Grauert theorem). Hence the long exact sequence (3) allows to conclude. □

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an affinoid algebra over k, and $\mathcal{X}$ an affine scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{A}$). By analogy with what has been done in 4.1, we can define semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$, see [Duc03][1.2] (resp. Zariski-locally semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$) and check that when $\mathcal{X}$ is affine the two notions coincide. In the case $\mathcal{X}$ is a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{A}$ and S a semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$, using Nagata's compactification theorem, one can find a proper scheme $\mathcal{Y}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{X}^{an}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{Y}^{an}$, which is compact. S is then a locally closed rigid semianalytic subset of $\mathcal{Y}^{an}$, hence:

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an affinoid algebra, $\mathcal{X}$ a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{A}$, and S a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{an}$. Then the groups $H^q_{c,Ber}(S, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

5.2. **Adic spaces of R. Huber.** In this paragraph, locally closed will mean locally closed for the topology of adic spaces and k will be a complete, algebraically closed field of any characteristic. Instead of working with the theory developed by Berkovich in [Ber93], we could have used the theory of adic spaces developed by Roland Huber in [Hub96]. This might be interesting because this will define different groups (cf. remark 5.5) and will apply for different semi-analytic (resp. semi-algebraic) subsets (cf. remark 5.12). In addition, the finiteness result [Hub07][5.1] is available for an algebraically closed field of any characteristic.
In this framework, the analogue of a a germ (X, S) is the notion of pseudo-adic space (X, S) [*ibid.* 1.10.3]. We will replace the quasi-immersions by locally closed embeddings [*ibid.* 1.10.8 (ii)], and the analogue of [Ber93][4.3.4] which states that cohomology is invariant by quasi-immersion is [Hub96][2.3.8] which states the same thing for locally closed embeddings. In Huber's theory though, compactly supported cohomology isn't defined as a derived functor (one has to use compactification), but one can check that if $i : (X, S) \to (Y, T)$ is a locally closed embedding with $i(S) = T$, then $H^*_{c,Hub}((X, S), i^*(\mathcal{F})) \simeq H^*_{c,Hub}((Y, T), \mathcal{F})$. Indeed, in this case, $i_! = i_*$ is an exact functor (it induces an equivalence of categories), so $R^+i_! = i_! = i_*$ [*ibid.* 5.4.1]. So $R^+i_!(i_*\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{F}$, from what it follows that $H^*_{c,Hub}((X, S), i^*(\mathcal{F})) \simeq H^*_{c,Hub}((Y, T), \mathcal{F})$.

Remark 5.5. *One has to keep in mind that compactly supported cohomology doesn't give the same groups in both theories, for instance if X is the closed disc of radius one:*

i	0	1	2
$H^i_{c,Ber}(X, \Lambda)$	Λ	0	0
$H^i_{c,Hub}(X, \Lambda)$	0	0	Λ

In the previous proofs, we systematically used the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^*_c(T, \Lambda) \to H^*_c(S, \Lambda) \to H^*_c(R, \Lambda) \to \cdots$$

with

$$T = \{x \in S \mid |f(x)| < |g(x)|\} \tag{24}$$

and $R = S \setminus T$. Although the closed-open long exact sequence is still valid [Hub96][5.5.11 (iv)], T as defined in (24) just above is not an open subset of S any more so we can't apply this long exact sequence. In fact the typical example of an open subset is

$$T = \{x \in S \mid |f(x)| \leq |g(x)| \neq 0\} \tag{25}$$

It will be then possible in that case to apply this long exact sequence (which includes the case $\{f \neq 0\} = \{0 \leq |f| \neq 0\}$).

Remark 5.6. *A subset S is a finite boolean combination of subsets of the form $\{|f| \leq |g| \neq 0\}$ if and only if it is a finite boolean combination of subsets of the form $\{|f| \leq |g|\}$.*

For instance, $\{|f| \leq |g|\} = \{|f| \leq |g| \neq 0\} \cup (\{g \neq 0\} \cup \{f \neq 0\})^c$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a (strictly) k-affinoid algebra.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $X = Spa(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^0)$ be the affinoid adic space associated to $\mathcal{A}$, $S = \cap_{i=1}^n S_i$ where for each i, S_i is of the form $S_i = \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \leq |g_i(x)| \neq 0\}$ or $S_i = \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| > |g_i(x)|$ or $g_i(x) = 0\}$, with $f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the groups $H^q_{c,Hub}(S, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Proof. Mimic the proof of lemma 3.4 using that $\{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \leq |g_i(x)|\}^c = \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| > |g_i(x)| \text{ or } g_i(x) = 0\}$. The key point here (that makes possible the base case of the induction) is that for an affinoid adic space Y, the groups $H^q_{c,Hub}(Y, \Lambda)$ are finite [Hub07][5.1]. □

Proposition 5.8. *Let T be a locally closed, globally semi-analytic subset of $X = Spa(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^0)$. Then the groups $H^q_{c,Hub}(T, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Proof. According to remark (5.6), we can assume that T is a finite union of subsets S as in proposition 5.7. Hence we can adapt the proof of lemma 3.5. □

Adapting the proofs of lemma 4.6 and proposition 4.7 we obtain for k an algebraically closed non-archimedean field:

Proposition 5.9. *Let X be a quasi-separated adic space of finite type over k, and S a locally closed rigid semi-analytic subspace of X. Then the groups $H^q_{c,Hub}(S, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a separated k-scheme of finite type, S a locally closed semi-algebraic subset of $\mathcal{X}^{ad}$. Then the groups $H^q_{c,Hub}(S, \Lambda)$ are finite.*

Remark 5.11. *According to* [Hub07]*[5.1], these results of finiteness for $H^q_{c,Hub}(S, \Lambda)$ remain true if we replace the constant sheaf $\underline{\Lambda}$ by a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}(X, S)$. This includes the constructible sheaves of* [Hub96]*[2.7], which are locally constant along affinoid domains, but the class $\mathcal{C}(X, S)$ is much more general, and allows the sheaves to be locally constant along Zariski-closed subsets.*

Remark 5.12. *As indicated above, if X is a k-analytic (resp. adic) affinoid space, the class of locally closed subspaces will be different according to the theories. To illustrate this let us consider X the closed bidisc of radius 1: $X = \mathcal{M}(k\{x, y\})$ or $Spa(k\{x, y\})$ according to theory we are using. Remind that a subset U is locally closed if and only if U is open in $\overline{U}$.*

- *Let's consider the semi-algebraic subset $U = \{p \in X \mid |x(p)| \leq |y(p)|\}$. Then U is closed for the Berkovich topology but not locally-closed for the topology of adic spaces. Indeed, if p_0 is the rigid point corresponding to the origin $(0, 0)$, $p_0 \in U$, but U is not a neighbourhood of p_0 in $\overline{U}$ (we now focus on the adic topology). Otherwise for some $\varepsilon > 0$, U would contain a subset $B = \{p \in \overline{U} \mid |x(p)| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|y(p)| \leq \varepsilon\}$. But then for $0 < \alpha < \varepsilon$ with $\alpha \in |k^\times|$, we can define $\eta_\alpha \in \overline{U}$ a valuation of rank 2 such that $\eta_\alpha(x) = \alpha$ and $\eta_\alpha(y) = \alpha_-$ where $\alpha_- < \alpha$ but is infinitesimally closed. Now, $\eta_\alpha \in \overline{U}$ because $\eta_\alpha \in \overline{\{\eta_{\alpha,\alpha}\}}$ (cf. definition below) and $\eta_{\alpha,\alpha} \in U$. So we should have $\eta_\alpha \in B \subseteq U$, which is false. So U is not locally closed for the adic topology.*

- *Let* $V = \{p \in X \mid |x(p)| > |y(p)|\} \cup \{p_0\}$*. Here* p_0 *is again the rigid point corresponding to the origin. Then* V *is closed in the adic topology, but it is not locally closed for the Berkovich topology. To show it, for* $r, s \leq 1$ *let* $\eta_{r,s} \in X$ *be defined by* $\eta_{r,s}(\sum a_{i,j} x^i y^j) = \max\{|a_{i,j} r^i s^j\}$*. Then for* $r > s$*,* $\eta_{r,s} \in V$*, and for* $0 < r \leq 1$*,* $\eta_{r,r} \in \overline{V} \setminus V$*. Now, if* V *was open in* $\overline{V}$*, since it contains* p_0*, it should contain* $\eta_{r,r}$ *for* r *small enough which is a contradiction.*

References

[Ber90] V.G. Berkovich. *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields.* Amer Mathematical Society, 1990.

[Ber93] V.G. Berkovich. Etale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 78(1):5–161, 1993.

[Ber94] V.G. Berkovich. Vanishing cycles for formal schemes. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 115(1):539–571, 1994.

[Bou98] Nicolas Bourbaki. *General topology. Chapters 1–4.* Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1989 English translation.

[Duc03] Antoine Ducros. Parties semi-algébriques d'une variété algébrique p-adique. *Manuscripta Math.*, 111(4):513–528, 2003.

[FK88] Eberhard Freitag and Reinhardt Kiehl. *Étale cohomology and the Weil conjecture*, volume 13 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)].* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. Translated from the German by Betty S. Waterhouse and William C. Waterhouse, With an historical introduction by J. A. Dieudonné.

[HL11] E. Hrushovski and F. Loeser. Monodromy and the Lefschetz fixed point formula. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2011.

[Hub96] Roland Huber. *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces.* Aspects of Mathematics, E30. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996.

[Hub98] R. Huber. A finiteness result for direct image sheaves on the étale site of rigid analytic varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 7(2):359–403, 1998.

[Hub07] Roland Huber. A finiteness result for the compactly supported cohomology of rigid analytic varieties. II. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 57(3):973–1017, 2007.

[Jan88] Uwe Jannsen. Continuous étale cohomology. *Math. Ann.*, 280(2):207–245, 1988.

[LR05] L. Lipshitz and Z. Robinson. Flattening and analytic continuation of affinoid morphisms. Remarks on a paper of T. S. Gardener and H. Schoutens: "Flattening and subanalytic sets in rigid analytic geometry" [Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), no. 3, 681–707; mr1851087]. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 91(2):443–458, 2005.

[Mil80] James S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie, 4 Place de Jussieu, 75005 Paris

E-mail address: `fmartin@math.jussieu.fr`